Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 LONDON 09376 01 OF 02 191032Z

20

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00 /026 W

----- 096565

O P 191025Z JUN 75

FM AMEMBASSY LONDON

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 2123

INFO AMEMBASSY OTTAWA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY PARIS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BONN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY TOKYO PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BRASILIA

USMISSION IAEA VIENNA PRIORITY

SECRETSECTION 01 OF 02 LONDON 09376

EXDIS

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: PARM, TECH, MNUC

SUBJECT: NUCLEAR EXPORT POLICY: BILATERALS WITH FRG

- 1. U.S./FRG (VEST/BALKEN) BILATERALS ON NUCLEAR EXPORT POLICY ON JUNE 17 FOCUSED ON GERMAN QUESTIONS ABOUT U.S. DISCUSSION PAPER, ON WHICH THEY HAD NOT REACHED CONCLUSIONS. THEY PROVIDED NO NEW INFORMATION ON BRAZILIAN DEAL.
- 2. VEST INTRODUCED PAPER AS INTENDED TO BE SPRINGBOARD FOR DISCUSSION; NOTED REACTION OF UK, SOVIETS AND CANADIANS THAT THEY WOULD HAVE PREFERRED IT TO REQUIRE SAFEGUARDS ON ALL PEACEFUL NUCLEAR ACTIVITIES OF RECIPIENT; DESCRIBED FRENCH DESIRE TO COME OUT OF THIS WEEK'S MEETINGS WITH SIMPLER TEXT, INCLUDING BRACKETED ALTERNATIVES, FOR CONSIDERATION BY GOVERNMENTS; SAID WE WERE PREPARING AS POSSIBLE AID TO WEDNESDAY DISCUSSIONS ABSTRACT OF DISCUSSION PAPER WHICH WOULD HIGHLIGHT UNDERLYING PRINCIPLES AND CONCEPTS; NOTED FRENCH SUGGESTION THAT FORMAL MEETING BE HELD IN SEPTEMBER, BUT EXPRESSED SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 LONDON 09376 01 OF 02 191032Z

PREFERENCE FOR JULY IF POSSIBLE AND VIEW THAT EXPANSION

OF GROUP SHOULD AWAIT COMPLETION OF THIS FIRST STAQE; AND SPOKE OF NECESSITY TO ADDRESS NEXT DAY GUIDELINES FOR HOW THE PARTICIPANTS WOULD PLAN TO RESPOND TO PRESS AND OTHER INOUIRIES.

3. FRG DEL NOTED DETAILED AND SOMEWHAT LEGALISTIC NATURE OF DISCUSSION PAPER AND SAID THEY HAD A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS, BUT WERE PREPARED TO USE PAPER AS BASIS FOR DISCUSSION. THEY STRESSED DESIRABILITY OF MINIMIZING IMPRESSION THAT SMALL GROUP OF RICH COUNTRIES WAS TRYING TO DICTATE TO THE REST OF THE WORLD, OR THE IMAGE OF A CARTEL, AND THUS OF AVOIDING FORM OF DOCUMENT THAT WOULD IMMEDIATELY CREATE SUCH A MISLEADING IMPRESSION.

AGREED WE WOULD ALL HAVE TO LIVE WITH THE UNAVOIDABLE PUBLICITY AND THAT THE PARTICIPANTS SHOULD HARMONIZE THEIR RESPONSES TO PRESS.

- 4. GERMANS ASKED IF "TRIGGER LIST" REFERRED TO ON FIRST PAGE WAS THE SAME AS THE ZANGGER COMMITTEE LIST. U.S. DEL EXPLAINED THAT IT ESSENTIALLY WAS, WITH CHANGES OF FORMAT TO REMOVE CONNECTION WITH NPT AND SOME FEW ADDITIONS (SUCH AS HEAVY WATER PLANTS, WHICH GERMANS CONSIDERED A LOGICAL ADDITION) AND UPDATING, AND POSSIBLE REFLECTION OF CONSENSUS ON HANDLING TECHNOLOGY TRANSFERS.
- 5. IN RESPONSE TO QUESTION ABOUT MEANING OF UNPUBLISHED TECHNOLOGY, U.S. DEL ASSURED THEM THAT THIS WAS BASICALLY PROPRIETARY DATA.
- 6. GERMANS QUESTIONED EXCEPTION PERMITTING BILATERAL SAFEGUARDS, NOTING THAT THIS WAS NOT AVAILABLE TO NPT PARTIES AND WOULD REQUIRE BUILDING A DOMESTIC SAFEGUARDS MECHANISM WHICH THEY WERE NOT IN A POSITION TO DO. U.S. DEL INDICATED THAT EXCEPTION CLAUSE RESPONDED TO FRENCH SUGGESTION AND WAS INTENDED TO COVER RARE, DE MINIMIS CASES.
- 7. GERMANS EXPRESSED GENERAL AGREEMENT WITH PARAGRAPH ON SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 LONDON 09376 01 OF 02 191032Z

PHYSICAL SECURITY, THAT COUNTRIES WOULD BE FREE TO GO BEYOND THE MINIMUM STANDARDS, AND THE MEANS OF MEETING THESE STANDARDS WOULD BE A NATIONAL RESPONSIBILITY.

8. PRINCIPAL PROBLEMS RAISED BY GERMAN DEL WERE WITH REQUIREMENT OF MULTINATIONAL PLANTS, INCLUDING (I) HOW, GIVEN THE STRUCTURE OF THEIR ECONOMY, GOVERNMENT CONTROL WOULD BE FEASIBLE; (II) THE SENSITIVITY OF RECIPIENT TO

INTERFERENCE WITH THEIR NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY; AND (III) QUESTIONS AS TO THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE CONTROL ACHIEVED XGDS-1

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 LONDON 09376 02 OF 02 191035Z

20

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 /026 W

----- 096646

O P 191025Z JUN 75

FM AMEMBASSY LONDON

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 2124

INFO AMEMBASSY OTTAWA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY PARIS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BONN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY TOKYO PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BRASILIA

USMISSION IAEA VIENNA PRIORITY

SECRETSECTION 02 OF 02 LONDON 09376

EXDIS

BY THE MULTINATIONAL STRUCTURE. U.S. DEL (KRATZER) EXPLAINED THAT THE CENTRAL MINIMUM REQUIREMENT WE NOW ENVISAGED WAS A SUFFICIENT SUPPLIER ROLE IN THE MANAQE-MENT AND OPERATION OF THE PLANT TO KNOW WHAT WAS HAPPENING. HE NOTED THE INHERENT DIFFICULTIES OF SAFE-GUARDING REPROCESSING PLANTS EFFECTIVELY, AS WELL AS THE FACT THAT IAEA DOES NOT ALWAYS EXERCISE ITS FULL AUTHOR-ITY TO SAFEGUARD, AND THE POSSIBILITY OF SAFEGUARDS BEING TERMINATED. THE GERMANS ASKED WHETHER LATTER PROBLEM COULD NOT BE MET IN PART BY REQUIRING SAFEGUARDS TO SURVIVE TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT. U.S. DEL EXPLAINED THAT SUPPLIER ROLE IN MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION PREFERABLE. GERMANS ASKED WHETHER IT WAS FEASIBLE TO PLAY SUCH A ROLE, AND U.S. DEL ELABORATED ON DEPENDENCE OF RECIPIENT ON SUPPLIER'S ASSISTANCE IN OPERATING THE PLANT. GERMANS INOICATED THAT THIS MIGHT WELL BE SO FOR INITIAL PERIOD OF 10 - 15 YEARS, BUT EVENTUALLY

RECIPIENT MIGHT BECOME INDEPENDENT OF SUCH HELP. THEY ALSO NOTED RISK THAT PLANT COULD BE NATIONALIZED.

9. GERMANS ASKED A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS ABOUT NATURE OF SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 LONDON 09376 02 OF 02 191035Z

SUPPLIER CONTROL. INCLUDING WHETHER WE ENVISAGED A PRIVATE COMPANY EMPLOYEE OR A GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL IN THE PLANT MANAGEMENT; THE MECHANISM AND DEGREE OF GOVERNMENT CONTROL OVER HIM, IF A PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL (E.G., COULD HE BE RECALLED BY THE GOVERNMENT); WHETHER THE SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE WOULD HAVE A VETO OVER DECISIONS; AND WHETHER MAJORITY STOCK OWNERSHIP BY THE SUPPLIER WOULD BE NEEDED. U.S. DEL EXPLAINED REGULATIONS GOVERNING USG RELATIONSHIP WITH NUCLEAR INDUSTRY AND INDICATED RANGE OF POSSIBILITIES ON FIRST TWO OUESTIONS) AND GAVE NEGATIVE ANSWERS TO THE LAST TWO. THEY EMPHASIZED, HOWEVER, THAT PROPOSALS REGARDING SUCH SENSITIVE TECHNOLOGY REDUCED BUT BY NO MEANS ELIMINATED RISKS, AS DEMONSTRATED BY PITFALLS ENUMERATED BY FRG, AND THEREFORE THAT AS NATIONAL POLICY U.S. UNDERTOOK NO SUCH TRANSFERS. EARLIER U.S. DEL MADE POINT THAT IN ANY EVENT U.S. CONTINUES TO ADVOCATE MAXIMUM RESTRAINT IN TRANSFER OF SUCH TECHNOLOGY, BUT IF TECHNOLOGY IS TRANSFERRED IT SHOULD BE UNDER STRINGENT CONTROLS.

10. AT DINNER, FOLLOWING MEETING, VEST MADE POINT THAT THE MORE CONTROLS THE FRG COULD INJECT INTO THE BRAZIL SIDE, THE MORE IT WOULD HELP IN DEALING WITH CRITICISMS ELSEWHERE. BALKEN REPLIED THIS WAS BONN'S OBJECTIVE AND IT WISHED TO KEEP US AS INTIMATELY INFORMED AS POSSIBLE. COMMENT. KRATZER WAS TOLD RECENTLY IN BONN THAT WE WOULD BE PROVIDED MORE FACTS ON NATURE OF FACILITIES INVOLVED AND THAT U.S. WOULD BE PLEASANTLY SURPRISED BY DETAILS OF ARRANGEMENT WHEN THESE BECOME KNOWN TO US.

RICHARDSON

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: POLICIES, EXPORTS, GOVERNMENT REACTIONS, PEACEFUL USES OF ATOMIC ENERGY, NUCLEAR REACTORS, NUCLEAR

SAFEGUARDS Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 19 JUN 1975
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR Disposition Authority: Gollinork
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:

Disposition History: n/a Disposition Reason: **Disposition Remarks:**

Document Number: 1975LONDON09376 Document Source: CORE

Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: X1 Errors: N/A

Film Number: D750213-0947

From: LONDON Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750635/aaaabfgy.tel

Line Count: 210

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION SS

Original Classification: SECRET Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED

Review Authority: GolinoFR Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 07 APR 2003 **Review Event:** Review Exemptions: n/a

Review History: RELEASED <07 APR 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <09 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State **EO Systematic Review** 06 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: NUCLEAR EXPORT POLICY: BILATERALS WITH FRG

TAGS: PARM, TECH, MNUC, US, GE, BR

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006